Form: TH-04 April 2020



townhall.virginia.gov

# Fast-Track Regulation Agency Background Document

Agency name	Board of Optometry, Department of Health Professions	
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s)	18VAC105-20	
VAC Chapter title(s)	Regulations Governing the Practice of Optometry	
Action title	title Record of patient receipt of prescription	
Date this document prepared	1 10/12/21	

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), the Regulations for Filing and Publishing Agency Regulations (1VAC7-10), and the *Form and Style Requirements for the Virginia Register of Regulations and Virginia Administrative Code*.

## **Brief Summary**

Provide a brief summary (preferably no more than 2 or 3 paragraphs) of this regulatory change (i.e., new regulation, amendments to an existing regulation, or repeal of an existing regulation). Alert the reader to all substantive matters. If applicable, generally describe the existing regulation.

The Board is amending section 45 to require an optometrist to maintain some documentation that a patient has received his/her contact lens prescription at the end of the final fitting, as required by state and federal rule.

# **Acronyms and Definitions**

Define all acronyms used in this form, and any technical terms that are not also defined in the "Definitions" section of the regulation.

## **Statement of Final Agency Action**

Form: TH-04

Provide a statement of the final action taken by the agency including: 1) the date the action was taken; 2) the name of the agency taking the action; and 3) the title of the regulation.

On October 12, 2021, the Board of Optometry amended 18VAC105-20-10 et seq., Regulations Governing the Practice of Optometry.

#### **Mandate and Impetus**

Identify the mandate for this regulatory change and any other impetus that specifically prompted its initiation (e.g., new or modified mandate, petition for rulemaking, periodic review, or board decision). For purposes of executive branch review, "mandate" has the same meaning as defined in Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), "a directive from the General Assembly, the federal government, or a court that requires that a regulation be promulgated, amended, or repealed in whole or part."

As required by Virginia Code § 2.2-4012.1, also explain why this rulemaking is expected to be noncontroversial and therefore appropriate for the fast-track process.

There was no mandate for this action, but the impetus was the recent amendment to the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) Contact Lens Rule.

The Board has determined that it could be adopted as a fast-track action because state regulations currently follow the federal rule, and this amendment will continue that consistency. Optometrists pay more attention to their state regulations, so compliance with this chapter will assist practitioners with compliance with FTC rules.

## **Legal Basis**

Identify (1) the promulgating agency, and (2) the state and/or federal legal authority for the regulatory change, including the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia and Acts of Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable. Your citation must include a specific provision, if any, authorizing the promulgating agency to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency's overall regulatory authority.

Regulations are promulgated under the general authority of Chapter 24 of Title 54.1 of the Code of Virginia. Section 54.1-2400 (6), which provides the Board of Optometry the authority to promulgate regulations to administer the regulatory system:

#### § 54.1-2400 -General powers and duties of health regulatory boards

The general powers and duties of health regulatory boards shall be:...

6. To promulgate regulations in accordance with the Administrative Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq.) which are reasonable and necessary to administer effectively the regulatory system. Such

regulations shall not conflict with the purposes and intent of this chapter or of Chapter 1 (§ 54.1-100 et seq.) and Chapter 25 (§ 54.1-2500 et seq.) of this title. ...

#### **Purpose**

Form: TH-04

Explain the need for the regulatory change, including a description of: (1) the rationale or justification, (2) the specific reasons the regulatory change is essential to protect the health, safety or welfare of citizens, and (3) the goals of the regulatory change and the problems it's intended to solve.

The purpose of the regulatory change is greater assurance that patients will be given their contact lens prescriptions by an optometrist after the fitting is complete. By requiring some acknowledgement of receipt in the patient record, the optometrist is more likely to provide the prescription, and the patient health and safety is better protected by having a prescription that may be filled from a variety of sources.

#### **Substance**

Briefly identify and explain the new substantive provisions, the substantive changes to existing sections, or both. A more detailed discussion is provided in the "Detail of Changes" section below.

The Board is amending section 45 to require an optometrist to maintain some documentation that a patient has received his/her contact lens prescription at the end of the final fitting, as required by state and federal rule.

#### **Issues**

Identify the issues associated with the regulatory change, including: 1) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the public, such as individual private citizens or businesses, of implementing the new or amended provisions; 2) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the agency or the Commonwealth; and 3) other pertinent matters of interest to the regulated community, government officials, and the public. If there are no disadvantages to the public or the Commonwealth, include a specific statement to that effect.

- 1) The primary advantage to the public is greater protection as a patient and a consumer; there are no disadvantages. The optometrist is also better protected by being able to show that he/she did in fact provide the prescription, if a question arose at a later time.
- 2) There are no advantages or disadvantages to the Commonwealth.
- 3) The Director of the Department of Health Professions has reviewed the proposal and performed a competitive impact analysis. The Board is authorized under 54.1-2400 to "promulgate regulations in accordance with the Administrative Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq. which are reasonable and necessary to administer effectively the regulatory system." Any restraint on competition that results from this regulation is in accord with the General Assembly's policy as articulated in § 54.1-100.

## **Requirements More Restrictive than Federal**

Form: TH-04

Identify and describe any requirement of the regulatory change which is more restrictive than applicable federal requirements. Include a specific citation for each applicable federal requirement, and a rationale for the need for the more restrictive requirements. If there are no applicable federal requirements, or no requirements that exceed applicable federal requirements, include a specific statement to that effect.

The amendment to section 45 is consistent with the Federal Trade Commission Contact Lens Rule (16 CFR-Part 315).

#### Agencies, Localities, and Other Entities Particularly Affected

Identify any other state agencies, localities, or other entities particularly affected by the regulatory change. "Particularly affected" are those that are likely to bear any identified disproportionate material impact which would not be experienced by other agencies, localities, or entities. "Locality" can refer to either local governments or the locations in the Commonwealth where the activities relevant to the regulation or regulatory change are most likely to occur. If no agency, locality, or entity is particularly affected, include a specific statement to that effect.

Other State Agencies Particularly Affected - none

Localities Particularly Affected - none

Other Entities Particularly Affected - none

## **Economic Impact**

Pursuant to § 2.2-4007.04 of the Code of Virginia, identify all specific economic impacts (costs and/or benefits), anticipated to result from the regulatory change. When describing a particular economic impact, specify which new requirement or change in requirement creates the anticipated economic impact. Keep in mind that this is change versus the status quo.

#### **Impact on State Agencies**

For your agency: projected costs, savings, fees or	As a special fund agency, the Board must
revenues resulting from the regulatory change,	generate sufficient revenue to cover its
including:	expenditures from non-general funds, specifically
a) fund source / fund detail;	the renewal and application fees it charges to
b) delineation of one-time versus on-going	practitioners or entities for necessary functions of
expenditures; and	regulation. All notifications will be done
c) whether any costs or revenue loss can be	electronically.
absorbed within existing resources	There are no on-going expenditures.
For other state agencies: projected costs,	None
savings, fees or revenues resulting from the	
regulatory change, including a delineation of one-	
time versus on-going expenditures.	

For all agencies: Benefits the regulatory change	None
is designed to produce.	

Form: TH-04

#### Impact on Localities

Projected costs, savings, fees or revenues	None
resulting from the regulatory change.	
Benefits the regulatory change is designed to	None
produce.	

#### **Impact on Other Entities**

Description of the individuals, businesses, or other entities likely to be affected by the regulatory change. If no other entities will be affected, include a specific statement to that effect.	Optometrists
Agency's best estimate of the number of such entities that will be affected. Include an estimate of the number of small businesses affected. Small business means a business entity, including its affiliates, that:  a) is independently owned and operated and; b) employs fewer than 500 full-time employees or has gross annual sales of less than \$6 million.	There are 1,680 optometrists licensed in Virginia. The agency does not know the number who are small businesses, but it is likely to be the majority of that number.
All projected costs for affected individuals, businesses, or other entities resulting from the regulatory change. Be specific and include all costs including, but not limited to:  a) projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance by small businesses; b) specify any costs related to the development of real estate for commercial or residential purposes that are a consequence of the regulatory change; c) fees; d) purchases of equipment or services; and e) time required to comply with the requirements.	There is currently a requirement for the optometrist to maintain certain information in a patient record; the acknowledgement of receipt of a prescription can be accomplished by a patient initialing a duplicate in the record, signing a form, or a variety of other physical or digital methods. There will be no additional costs associated with this requirement.
Benefits the regulatory change is designed to produce.	There are benefits to both the patient and the practitioner. Since there will be some documentation that the optometrist gave the patient the prescription, there should be no disagreement about that fact. Patients are benefitted by having a prescription that can be filled from a variety of sources.

# **Alternatives to Regulation**

Describe any viable alternatives to the regulatory change that were considered, and the rationale used by the agency to select the least burdensome or intrusive alternative that meets the essential purpose of the regulatory change. Also, include discussion of less intrusive or less costly alternatives for small businesses, as defined in § 2.2-4007.1 of the Code of Virginia, of achieving the purpose of the regulatory change.

There are no alternatives considered, but the Board will likely develop a guidance document to assist optometrists in the understanding the variety of methods for patient acknowledgement and documentation that are acceptable to the FTC.

Form: TH-04

#### **Regulatory Flexibility Analysis**

Pursuant to § 2.2-4007.1B of the Code of Virginia, describe the agency's analysis of alternative regulatory methods, consistent with health, safety, environmental, and economic welfare, that will accomplish the objectives of applicable law while minimizing the adverse impact on small business. Alternative regulatory methods include, at a minimum: 1) establishing less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; 2) establishing less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; 3) consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; 4) establishing performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and 5) the exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the regulatory change.

There are no alternative regulatory methods consistent with state and federal rules.

## **Public Participation**

Indicate how the public should contact the agency to submit comments on this regulation, and whether a public hearing will be held, by completing the text below.

As required by § 2.2-4011 of the Code of Virginia, if an objection to the use of the fast-track process is received within the 30-day public comment period from 10 or more persons, any member of the applicable standing committee of either house of the General Assembly or of the Joint Commission on Administrative Rules, the agency shall: 1) file notice of the objections with the Registrar of Regulations for publication in the Virginia Register and 2) proceed with the normal promulgation process with the initial publication of the fast-track regulation serving as the Notice of Intended Regulatory Action.

If you are objecting to the use of the fast-track process as the means of promulgating this regulation, please clearly indicate your objection in your comment. Please also indicate the nature of, and reason for, your objection to using this process.

The Board of Optometry is providing an opportunity for comments on this regulatory proposal, including but not limited to (i) the costs and benefits of the regulatory proposal and any alternative approaches, (ii) the potential impacts of the regulation, and (iii) the agency's regulatory flexibility analysis stated in this background document.

Anyone wishing to submit written comments for the public comment file may do so through the Public Comment Forums feature of the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall web site at: <a href="https://townhall.virginia.gov">https://townhall.virginia.gov</a>. Comments may also be submitted by mail, email or fax to Elaine Yeatts, 9960 Mayland Drive, Henrico, VA 23233, Phone (804) 367-4688; Fax (804) 527-4434; <a href="mailto:elaine.yeatts@dhp.virginia.gov">elaine.yeatts@dhp.virginia.gov</a>. In order to be considered, comments must be received by 11:59 pm on the last day of the public comment period.

# **Detail of Changes**

Form: TH-04

List all regulatory changes and the consequences of the changes. Explain the new requirements and what they mean rather than merely quoting the text of the regulation. For example, describe the intent of the language and the expected impact. Describe the difference between existing requirement(s) and/or agency practice(s) and what is being proposed in this regulatory change. Use all tables that apply, but delete inapplicable tables.

Table 1: Changes to Existing VAC Chapter(s)

Current chapter- section number	Current requirements in VAC	Change, intent, rationale, and likely impact of new requirements
105-20-45	Subsection C (2) of section 45 currently requires an optometrist to provide a patient with a copy of the patient's contact lens prescription at the end of the contact lens fitting, even if the patient does not ask for it.	Section 45 C (2) is amended to require patient confirmation of receipt of the prescription at the end of the contact lens fitting to be maintained in the patient record.  The requirement is consistent with the Federal Trade Commission Contact Lens Rule (16 CFR-Part 315) as amended in October of 2020. The federal rule requires prescribers to request that their patients confirm that they have received their prescription but allows flexibility in the way the prescription and confirmation are provided.  Contact lens fitting is defined in the federal rule as 'the process that begins after an initial eye examination for contact lenses and ends when a successful fit has been achieved or, in the case of a renewal prescription, ends when the prescriber determines that no change in the existing prescription is required"  With this amendment, the rules of the Virginia Board for standard of care will continue to be consistent with the Contact Lens Rule of the FTC.